Structure of the southernmost Okinawa Trough from reflection and wide-angle seismic data
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Abstract

During a passive seismic experiment in the Okinawa Trough the shots of two reflection profiles were recorded by ocean bottom seismometers (OBS). Both profiles include 3 ocean-bottom instruments, are about 65 km in length and located in the axial portion of the southwestern Okinawa Trough. Processing of the reflection seismic data images recent deformation of the sedimentary units. Forward modelling of the wide-angle data on both profiles reveals a 1–2 km thick sedimentary infill overlying an acoustic basement characterised by seismic velocities between 3.2 and 3.5 km/s. Crustal thickness could only be modelled on one profile and was determined to be around 10 km, thickening towards the Ryukyu Arc in the south. Gravity modelling was used to additionally constrain both profiles especially the deep structure of Profile 1.
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1. Introduction

The Okinawa Trough, bounded by the Ryukyu Arc to the south and east and by the East China Sea continental shelf to the north and west, is a curved backarc basin, located behind the Ryukyu trench-arc system (Fig. 1). Its maximum depth (2300 m) is located to the south and decreases to only 200 m towards the north, close to Japan. It formed by extension either of continental lithosphere (Uyeda, 1977) or of continental lithosphere previously intruded by arc volcanism (Sibuet and Hsu, 1997). Sibuet and Hsu (1997) proposed, that the series of continental shelf basins located parallel to the mainland China shoreline consist of several belts of backarc basins separated by relic arcs. The Okinawa Trough in this case represents an active backarc basin formed by extension of a continental crust which contains an unknown proportion of arc material. From Kyushu to Okinawa Island subaerial active volcanoes coincide with the Ryukyu arc (Sibuet et al., 1998). Southwest of Okinawa Island, the volcanic front moves progressively into the Okinawa Trough and consists of a series of seamounts which ends close to Taiwan in Kueishantao Island (Sibuet et al., 1998).

Five other belts of basins corresponding to five different rifting phases were identified in the East China Sea (Sibuet and Hsu, 1997). They are mostly oriented NE–SW and their tectonic history shows that rifting occurred between late Cretaceous/early Paleocene and middle Miocene (Sibuet and Hsu, 1997). In the Okinawa Trough, rifting probably started in middle Miocene (Letouzey and Kimura, 1986).

During one of the first wide-angle seismic studies carried out in the Philippine Sea area, three regional seismic transects were acquired (Murauchi et al., 1968). On the basis of these refraction seismic measurements, Murauchi et al. (1968) deduced, that the crust underlying the Okinawa Trough is generally similar to that of continental crust. They found a Moho depth of 12 km in the eastern Okinawa Trough rapidly thinning towards the northwest (Fig. 1). From reflection seismic data the sedimentary and upper crustal velocities were determined by Ludwig et al. (1973) in the northern Okinawa Trough around 31°N and Leyden et al. (1973) correlated offshore refraction velocities with onland drilling and refraction data to propose a crustal structure in the Okinawa Trough. Based on models from wide-angle seismic data, Hagen et al. (1988)
propose the nature of the crust east of Taiwan and south of the Ryukyu Trench to be oceanic and continental north of the trench, close to our study area (Fig. 1). Moho depth in the continental part of the model is around 12–14 km deepening towards the south.

Lee et al. (1980) using two ship seismic refraction lines, found that the Okinawa Trough is underlain by a roughly 9 km thick crust, which is overlain by an acoustic basement layer and a 1–2 km thick layer of sediments (Fig. 1 inset). During the TAICRUST survey combined reflection and wide-angle seismic profiles were acquired in southwestern Ryukyu subduction zone (Fig. 1 inset). Profile 1 of this experiment, extends from the southern Okinawa Trough, close to our study area, over the Ryukyu Arc, the Nanao Forarc Basin, the Yaeyama Accretionary Ridge, the Ryukyu Trench into the Huatung Basin (Wang et al., 2001, 2004). 8 OBS were deployed, on this 300 km long profile. Forward modelling and tomography of these data yielded a Moho depth of 22 km underneath the Ryukyu Arc, rising towards the Okinawa Trough (Wang et al., 2001, 2004).

Higher crustal thicknesses of up to 20–23 km are found in the northernmost Okinawa Trough (Nakahigashi et al., 2004). A regional velocity model has been constructed using available datasets and applied to reduce the relocation error of local earthquakes (Font et al., 2003). Large-scale velocity models from earthquake tomography image the subducting slab in the upper mantle (Lallemand et al., 2001; Nakamura et al., 2003; Hsu, 2001).

During the 2003 Okinawa Trough cruise performed on the Ocean Researcher 1 vessel, two reflection seismic profiles were shot along aligned ocean bottom seismometers (OBS) which were deployed to record earthquake data. The main aim of the marine experiment was the acquisition of passive seismological data in order to constrain the deep crustal and mantle structure in the region of an inferred tear of the Ryukyu slab (Lin et al., 2004b,a). Results from inversion of the seismological data are described by Lin et al. (this volume). The reflection and wide-angle seismic data presented in this paper provide information on the sedimentary and crustal velocity structure at a much higher resolution than the models established from seismological data. Both models are therefore complimentary and presented in separate papers.
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interval between OBS stations was chosen to be about 20 km, and not specifically designed for wide-angle seismic experiments. The active seismic shots served two purposes: first to allow an exact relocation of the OBS position and second the acquisition of reflection seismic data using a streamer. Analyses of active source data on the two profiles and the reflection seismic data allowed us to determine the geometry of the sedimentary and upper crustal layers in the trough. Although the number of instruments per profile was small and the reflection seismic data are of only fair quality, these data present substantial additional constraints on the crustal structure in this area where deep seismic data are otherwise scarce. They also provide complimentary information to the lower resolution velocity models from the passive seismic experiment Lin et al. (this volume).

Pre-processing of the OBS data included calculation of the clock-drift corrections (between 2 and 5 ms per day) to adjust the clock in each instrument to the GPS base time. Instrument locations were corrected for drift from the deployment position during their descent to the seafloor using the direct water wave arrival. Picking of the onset of first and secondary arrivals was performed without filtering where possible. Different filters were applied to the instruments where necessary, depending on the quality of the data and offset to the source. Data quality of the 3 instruments on Profile 2 is good with deep arrivals from the crust-mantle boundary and the upper mantle on all three instruments (Fig. 3). On Profile 1 the data quality is much lower and no crustal or mantle arrivals could be identified on all three instruments.

3. Velocity and gravity modeling

The data were modelled using the inversion and ray tracing algorithm RAYINVR (Zelt and Smith, 1992). Modelling was performed using a layer-stripping approach, proceeding from the top of the structure towards the bottom. The upper layers in the model, not constrained by arrivals from within the same layer,
were adjusted to improve the fit of arrivals from lower layers. We used a two-dimensional iterative damped least-squares inversion of travel times (Zelt and Smith, 1992). Arrival times of the main sedimentary layers and basement were picked from the reflection seismic data where possible. The arrival times were converted to depth using the OBS data and velocities consistent with those from velocity analysis of the reflection seismic data. The depth and velocities of the crustal layers and the upper mantle were modelled from the OBS data only. Based on the data quality the picking uncertainties were taken to be 50 ms for the direct water arrivals and 100 ms for all other arrivals.

The Profile 2 velocity model is comprised of 6 layers: the water layer, one sedimentary layer, one underlying acoustic basement layer, two crustal layers and the upper mantle layer (Fig. 4). Each layer is defined by depth and velocity nodes. The water velocity is found to be 1500 m/s from modelling, which agrees with existing water velocity data from oceanographic measurement compilations (Dietrich et al., 1975). The seafloor
bathymetry was taken from a bathymetric map of the Okinawa Trough, produced from a new grid (grid spacing 150 m) and including all available swath bathymetric data from French and Japanese oceanographic ships (Sibuet et al., 2004b). The seafloor model layer includes depth nodes at a spacing of 1.5 km (Fig. 4). The sedimentary layer is modelled using the reflection seismic data for layer geometry converted to depth using velocities from the OBS data and sampled at the same node spacing as the seafloor layer. Sediment velocities range from 1.9 km/s to 2.1 km/s. The acoustic basement layer displays velocities between 3.2 and 3.5 km/s. The top of the crust has also been modelled with a node spacing of 2.5 km, as it is not well resolved everywhere on the profile in the reflection seismic data. The crust is modelled by two layers of 4.5 to 5.6 km/s and 5.6 to 7.0 km/s. The lower-crustal layer and the Moho are imaged at a lower resolution.

Thus we use a depth node spacing of 10 km. No arrivals from the upper mantle have been modelled so a constant gradient was assumed from 8.0 km/s to 8.2 km/s throughout the model for gravity modelling (Fig. 4).

As no deep arrivals could be used to constrain the deeper velocity structure on Profile 1, a similar deep crustal structure and Moho depth as found in Profile 2, have been assumed to model Profile 1 (Figs. 5 and 4). The deep part of this model is thus constrained by gravity modelling only. Sedimentary velocities range from 1.9 to 2.1 km/s and the acoustic basement from

Fig. 4. (A) Gravity anomaly from satellite altimetry (Sandwell and Smith, 1995) (broken black line) and predicted gravity anomaly from conversion of the seismic velocities to densities. (B) Final velocity model of Profile 2 including the model boundaries (solid lines) and iso-velocity contours every 0.25 km/s. OBS locations are marked by black circles. Mean layer densities used for gravity modelling are annotated in italic. (C) Ray coverage of Profile 1 with every 5th ray plotted. (D) Fit between the travel time picks (dark grey bars) and the predicted arrival times (black lines) from ray-tracing.
3.2 to 3.5 km/s. The seismic velocities and geometry of the two crustal layers have been adopted from Profile 2 and adjusted using gravity modelling (Fig. 4).

The fit between predicted arrival times and travel time picks provides information about the quality of the model (Figs. 4(D) and 5(D)). The \( \chi^2 \)-error is defined as the root-mean-square (rms) traveltime misfit between observed and calculated arrivals normalised to the picking uncertainty. As the \( \chi^2 \)-error depends on the traveltime misfit as well as on the assigned picking error, it can exhibit a large value for smaller traveltime misfit for phases of high quality. The number of picks, the RMS traveltime residual and the \( \chi^2 \)-error for all phases are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

In the final model the \( \chi^2 \)-error of every phase is close to 1, characteristic for a good fit without over-interpretation of phases with high signal/noise ratio. This ensures that the final models represent the minimum constrained structure.

![Fig. 5.](image)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Nr. of picks</th>
<th>RMS traveltime residual</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )-error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>1.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments reflection</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Phases</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assuming seismic velocities and densities can be correlated, gravity modelling provides important additional constraints on the seismic model. Areas not imaged by the seismic rays can be modelled by comparing calculated gravity anomalies with those observed. Gravity anomalies along the profiles were extracted from the satellite derived free-air gravity grid of Sandwell and Smith (1995). The gravity data were forward modelled using the GRAVMOD software (Zelt and Smith, 1992). Seismic velocities were converted to densities using the relationship of Ludwig et al. (1970). The upper mantle densities were set to a constant 3.32 g/cm³. To avoid edge effects, both models were extended by 100 km at both ends and down to a depth of 95 km. Both profiles show a good agreement between the predicted and measured gravity anomalies (Figs. 5A and 4A). However, as the deep structure of Profile 1 is not constrained by seismic data, it has been modelled using the gravity data.

4. Results and discussion

Both wide-angle seismic profiles from the Okinawa Trough show sedimentary layers of up to 1 km thickness in the middle of the trough. These overlie a layer representing the acoustic basement, with velocities between 3.2 and 3.5 km/s. Based on its velocity and the high impedance contrast to the overlying sedimentary layer we suggest that this layer might include some limited amount of backarc volcanism or arc relics emplaced during formation of older backarc basins since early Tertiary (Sibuet and Hsu, 1997) together with compacted sediments. A two layered crustal model with a higher velocity gradient in the upper crust fits the data better than a one layer model. However, as no reflection from the middle of the crust can be identified in the data, no velocity step is modelled between both layers, and the interface therefore represents a second order boundary. Lower layer velocities and Moho depth are only constrained by seismic data on Profile 2. The total crustal thickness is 8 to 9 km in the middle of the trough and thickens to about 10 km towards the Ryukyu arc.

The crustal thickness of 8 km found on profile 2 could be explained by either normal oceanic crust or thinned continental crust. A comparison of the seismic crustal velocities from this study with those of normal oceanic crust (White et al., 1992) indicates that velocities and velocity gradients are lower than in normal oceanic crust (Fig. 6B) and that the crust of the Okinawa Trough is definitely not oceanic. The lower velocity gradients and velocities, however are in good agreement with thinned continental crust. Although the OBS spacing is too large to offer a more detailed resolution, the slightly elevated lower crustal velocities of up to 7 km/s compared to continental crust might be caused by arc volcanic intrusions into the lower crust during extension as suggested by Sibuet et al. (2004a).

The sedimentary and crustal velocities found from ray-tracing agree well with values from previous work (Fig. 6A). The Moho depth modelled from OBS data by Hagen et al. (1988) is about 1.5 kilometers deeper than found in this study.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Nr. of picks</th>
<th>RMS traveltime residual</th>
<th>Chi-squared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>1.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments reflection</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement reflection</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>1.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower crust</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmP</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Phases</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. (A) Comparison of different velocity-depth profiles from the Okinawa Trough. (1) this study (2) Lee et al. (1980) (3) Leyden et al. (1973) (4) Murauchi et al. (1968) (5) Ludwig et al. (1973) (6) Hagen et al. (1988) (B) Crustal velocity-depth function from profile 2. Grey shaded area marks velocity bounds for oceanic crust (White et al., 1992).
and lies therefore within the error limit of the two models which are both characterised by a large OBS interval. The Moho depth found by Lee et al. (1980) is slightly deeper than in this study, a fact which might be explained by the location of the profile slightly further east and the method of data processing. The velocity model from the TAICRUST (Wang et al., 2001, 2004) experiment to the south of our study area on the Ryukyu arc shows larger crustal thickness, which is in good agreement with the thickening of the crust in our velocity models towards the south.

The MCS profiles image the shallow crustal structure, in particular recent deformation of the sedimentary units (Fig. 2). A series of normal faults are observed in the deepest portion of the Okinawa Trough affecting the most superficial (most recent) sediments. On Profile 2 an uplifted horst block bounds the southern side and is separated from the basin by a major N dipping normal fault. The top basement boundary is also offset by the major normal faults. Although both N and S dipping normal faults are observed, the basin is flanked primarily by N dipping faults on its S boundary and conversely S dipping faults on its N boundary, consistent with ongoing extension through block faulting.

The thin crust found from wide-angle seismic modelling as well as the normal faulting imaged in the reflection seismic profiles originates from the high extension of the crust in the axis of the trough. A layer with velocities between 3 and 3.5 km/s which acts as an acoustic basement underneath the sedimentary trough. A layer with velocities between 3 and 3.5 km/s as well as the normal faulting imaged in the reflection seismic data processing and of the Ocean Researcher 1 for their work at sea. The SI SBISE with the data acquisition. We also thank the Captain and the crew with the Ocean Researcher 1 for their work at sea. The SI SBISE with the data acquisition. We also thank the Captain and the crew
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