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Abstract

Located at the arc-continental collision region between the Eurasian (EP) and Philippine Sea Plates (PSP), Taiwan is usually considered to have a complex tectonic environment, particularly along the eastern coast of the island. To gain a better understanding of the geological evolution of the east Taiwan area, the data from 8 Ocean Bottom Seismometers (OBS) acquired during the Across Taiwan Strait Explosion Experiment in 2012 and 14 inland seismic stations were used to determine a more detailed and accurate distribution of marine earthquakes. Based on the 333 relocated earthquakes and available geophysical data, we suggest two main tectonic boundaries for eastern Taiwan. South of 23.25°N, the homogeneous distribution of earthquakes in the crustal portion for both the inland and offshore areas suggests an ongoing collisional process. North of this location, between approximately 23.25°N and 23.8°N, the abrupt increasing of seismicity depth infers that the underthrusted arc/fore-arc material is deforming due to the collisional compression at depth. In this segment, the subsidence of the arc/fore-arc area determines the transition from collision to subduction. North of 23.8°N, the northwestern dipping PSP is well illustrated by the seismicity both onshore and offshore, indicating a dominant subduction process.

1. Introduction

Taiwan is located at the plate boundary between the Eurasian and the Philippine Sea Plates. Along the eastern coast of Taiwan, the Eurasian Plate (EP) subducts eastward under the Philippine Sea Plate (PSP) in the southern region, whereas the PSP subducts northward under the EP along the Ryukyu Trench (RT) (Fig. 1). In eastern Taiwan, the Longitudinal Valley Fault (LVF) is generally considered the suture zone. In addition, the Ryukyu subduction system enters eastern Taiwan from the offshore area and interacts with the northern Taiwan orogenic system. Subsequently, complex geological structures and abundant earthquakes occupy this area.

Several tectonic investigations have been performed to detail the tectonic characteristics of this complicated region. Based on analog sandbox experiments, Chemenda et al. (2001) showed that the progressively increasing compression of the overriding lithosphere causes the subduction of the fore-arc block and results in the subsidence of the arc and fore-arc. Malavieille et al. (2002) interpreted the marine seismic profiles and proposed that the subduction of the EP induces the shortening of the fore-arc region and the accretion of regions of the arc with westward thrusting features. By analyzing the earthquake distribution and tomo-
Thus, using the OBS records, in combination with the available data to record the offshore seismic events with a larger coverage of seismic stations and to relocate these events with better precision. Thus, using the OBS records, in combination with the available waveforms from the inland seismic stations and available geophysical data, we attempt to propose a better illustration for the tectonic processes occurring along the eastern coast of Taiwan.

2. Geological settings

Taiwan is located at the arc-continent collision region between the Luzon volcanic arc of the PSP and the continental shelf of the EP (Fig. 1) (Ho, 1986; Suppe, 1984). Because of the oblique collision between the arc and the EP, the orogenic belt has been propagating southward (Suppe, 1984). Approximately 10 Ma ago, the northern Luzon arc and the southwestern Ryukyu subduction system were suggested to form a connection with a transform fault (Lallemand et al., 2001). In addition, 4 Ma ago, accompanied by a high rate of deformation, the orogeny of Taiwan began to form via the southward propagated oblique collision between the continental shelf and the Luzon volcanic arc (Sibuet et al., 2006). A portion of the arc and fore-arc sediments was accreted onto the former accretionary prism, forming the Central Range (CR) and the Coastal Range (CoR) areas (Chang et al., 2000). The Longitudinal Valley (LV) separates the CR to the west and the CoR to the east and is considered a plate boundary between the EP and the PSP. The large convergence, as indicated by the geodetic results, displays a value of 81.5 ± 1.3 mm/yr in the direction of N30°E (Yu et al., 1997). South of 24°N, the convergence is almost entirely distributed across the island, with a rate of 3 cm/yr accommodated in the LV area (Angelier et al., 1997).

With these complicated structures, the seismicity is largely active around Taiwan, especially in the eastern coast and the offshore area. South of 24.3°N, earthquakes in the collisional zone generally show a NW-SE compressive mechanism. Most of the σ1 (maximum principal stress) events are divided into two groups, 287° ± 10° and 333° ± 16° (Kao et al., 1998), and only a few of the events have their P-axes located along the direction of plate motion (Yu et al., 1997). Wu et al. (2010) noticed that this variation can be associated with the depth variations. At depths shallower than 20 km, the trends of σ1 are roughly consistent with the convergence direction (333° ± 16°), whereas the σ1 rotate to 287° ± 10° at locations deeper than 20 km. Further north, the earthquakes separate into shallow regions and deep regions to present the opening of the Okinawa Trough and the subduction of the PSP. The shallow region contains strike-slip and normal-fault-type focal mechanisms with a NW-SE trending σ3 (minimal principal stress). The events occur in the deep region show a down-dipping extensional regime between 50 and 90 km in depth (Wu et al., 2008).

3. Methods and data

The Across Taiwan Strait Explosion Experiment (ATSEE) is a project designed to determine the structure beneath Taiwan Island and the Taiwan Strait using passive and active sources with a dense distribution of seismic stations around Taiwan. To extend the seismic array eastward, 10 ocean bottom seismometers (OBS) were deployed in the Eastern Taiwan offshore area (121.4°E–122.1°E, 22.8°N–23.7°N) from July 9 to August 3, 2012, and 8 of them were recovered (Fig. 1). The MicroOBS instruments used in the experiment, each containing one hydrophone and three component 4.5 Hz geophones as receivers, were developed by the French Research Institute for Exploitation of the Sea (Ifremer) (Auffret et al., 2004). The ATSEE project was mainly designed for wide-angle seismic experiment. The stations were deployed along the profile and the recording period was much shorter than that of the OBS network. The data from our OBS network have been integrated into the ATSEE project dataset for the velocity inversion to
understand the deep tectonic structures beneath Taiwan and across the Taiwan Strait (Kuo et al., 2015). However, the linear distribution and the short recording period of the onland seismic stations was not suitable for the determination of earthquakes distribution. Therefore, instead of incorporating the data from other ATSEE inland stations, we employed the available waveform data from the 12 inland stations in the Central Weather Bureau Seismic Network (CWBSN) and 2 stations in the Broadband Array in Taiwan for Seismology network (BATS) (Fig. 1) to improve the network geometry and enhance precision for earthquake localization. Finally, a total of 22 seismic stations, located in both the inland and offshore areas, were used for the analyses of passive seismic signals. The short-period type seismometers record a relatively smaller range of frequencies compared to that of broadband seismometers. However, the P- and S-wave arrivals used in our study were characterized by high frequency (more than 10 Hz). The use of different types of seismic stations should have no effect in the data quality (Fig. 2). Due to the limitation for the instrument autonomy, the OBSs can only record data for less than one month, 25 days in our study, which is very short with respect to the instrumental seismic observation history. However, for the tectonic active area like the eastern Taiwan, 25 days data could still provide us information about the seismologically active structures, especially in the marine area where the available seismic data is insufficient.

The application of an inappropriate velocity model in localization will cause significant errors in the earthquake position. Several seismic tomographic results are available for part of the study region (e.g. Huang et al., 2014; Kuochuen et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2007, 2009b). However, since our seismic network includes the inland and offshore regions, it is difficult to determine a suitable velocity model for earthquake localization. In this study, we used the double-difference relocation method (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000) for the earthquake relocation. In the method, when the distance between two nearby earthquakes is much smaller than the distance from the event to the station and the scale of the heterogeneity in the structure, the difference between travel times can be ascribed to the spatial offset between the events (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000). Therefore, the effects of three-dimensional velocity structure and clock bias will be minimized. After a group of event pairs is linked together in clusters (hereafter called “the group”), the least squares solution for each cluster is found to achieve relative locations. For this purpose, the conjugate gradient algorithm LSQR (Paige and Saunders, 1982) is used to solve the damped least-square of the sparse matrix in the relocation process. After numerous tests, the spatial separation distance between two events was defined as 7 km to take both the relocation precision and the number of relocated events into consideration. We can notice that 7 km is much smaller than most of the tectonic features determined in the study, which suggests that all the structures discussed here should be reliable. The 1-D velocity model was constructed based on the model that Central Weather Bureau (CWB) uses routinely for the localization of earthquakes in Taiwan (Fig. 3a). On the other hand, the magnitudes and focal mechanisms of seismic events recognized from the seismic network were determined as well. We first calculated the magnitude using the duration of the coda wave (MD). Next, the local magnitudes (ML) of events were converted from MD based on the empirical law proposed by Shin (1993). The focal mechanisms were determined using the first motion of P arrivals.

4. Results

Based on the 25-day OBSs records, a total of 4256 P- and 5715 S-wave arrivals were manually selected, and a total of 979 earthquakes were initially located with the IASP91 global velocity model (Kennett and Engdahl, 1991) (Fig. 4a). Among these, 333 events were divided into several groups based on the distances between them and successfully relocated using the HypoDD software.
Fig. 3b shows the number of the group with smaller root-mean-square travel time residual (RMS) increased after the relocation. We noticed that the number of relocated earthquakes is only approximately 34% of that in the initial localization. Such a discrepancy in number was caused by discarding of the shallow events and out-of-network events, which were too scattered to be relocated with the HypoDD method. The basic procedure behind the HypoDD relocation is to identify events that can make an event pair, and identify the station or stations that each pair can be linked to in order to make travel time corrections to that station. If no event pair was identified or insufficient information could be given for the travel time correction in each pair, the earthquakes could not be relocated by the software. More than 90% events contained offsets between the original and the relocated position less than 5 km in each direction (E-W, N-S, and vertical), and the offset pattern seems to be random without systematic effect (Fig. 3d). A comparison of the magnitude ML converted from the empirical law (the x-axis) and that obtained from the Central Weather Bureau (CWB) catalog (the y-axis). The solid black line denotes the regression, showing a positive correlation. The dashed line indicates the line of equality (y = x). (d) Offsets of the relocated earthquakes location with regard to its original position in different planes. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The focal mechanisms solutions (Hardebeck and Shearer, 2002). The small fit errors and station distance ratio (STDR) indicate that the focal mechanisms solutions we defined in the study should begood enough (Table 1). Except for one NW-SE trending extensional event (No. 2 in Fig. 4b), all events are characterized by thrusting and strike-slip movement with a maximal compressional axis σ1 subparallel to the plate motion (Nos. 5 and 9) or along 287° ± 10° (Nos. 4, 7 and 8) and 333° ± 16° (Nos. 1, 3 and 6), as suggested by Kao et al. (1998).

After the relocalization, the distribution of seismic events appears to be more concentrated and sharpened, and most of the events are distributed along the eastern coast of Taiwan. The earthquakes are generally located at depths from 10 to 80 km and become deeper toward north (Fig. 4b). South of 23.25° N, earthquakes occur at a relatively shallow depth of 0–30 km and are distributed primarily along the western side of the Luzon Arc or along the southern CR. A certain amount of seismicity is also located in the eastern flank of the arc, but no significant feature was determined. The shallowest events appear in the southern portion of CoR; by contrast, almost no events occur in the northern segment (~23.25°N–24°N). North of 23.25°N, the seismic distribution is deeper than that in the south, representing a northward dipping seismic zone without the presence of shallow events. Most earthquakes strike along the eastern coast of Taiwan, whereas others are located near the northern CR, in inland Taiwan or under the Ryukyu fore-arc area. This seismicity distribution pattern is similar
to those already published by the previous studies (e.g. Fig. 2 in Theunissen et al. (2012)). Notably, the discrepancy of shallow earthquakes in the area between approximately 23.25°N–24°N and the northward dipping seismic pattern could be well imaged by almost all the previous studies even though no specific discussion have been provided in the previous works. The depth of the earthquakes that occur in the island are concentrated in the range of 30–40 km. The focal mechanism determined for this seismic cluster shows an extensional nature (focal mechanism No. 2 in Fig. 2b), which differs from the focal mechanisms obtained from any other areas, indicating a different tectonic environment.

5. Discussion

To better examine the inland and offshore seismic activity, we projected the relocated earthquakes together with the seismic tomographic results (Kuochen et al., 2012) along five NE-SW trending profiles, which strikes approximately in parallel with the plate boundary, LV (Fig. 6a). The onshore sections (profiles 1 and 2 in Fig. 6a) contain relatively fewer events with regard to the offshore portion (profiles 4 and 5 in Fig. 6a), which is consistent with the previous results that most earthquakes occur in the marine domain. However, the highest seismicity density appeared in the sea-land boundary, along the profile 3 (Fig. 6a and e). Based on the distribution of seismicity, two distinct boundaries, 23.25°N and 23.8°N (red arrows in Figs. 4b and 6a; black dashed lines along the five profiles shown in Fig. 6b–g), were defined to illustrate the evolution of tectonic process along the eastern Taiwan.

South of 23.25°N, the southern boundary, the earthquakes are distributed between depths of 10 and 35 km without showing any distinct feature (black dots in Fig. 6c–g). The concentration of earthquakes south of 23.25°N within a 35-km thickness suggests an ongoing collisional process, which occurs in the entire crustal portion as already suggested by previous studies (e.g. Kao and Rau, 1999; Malavieille et al., 2002). The NW-SE trending r1 determined in our study (focal mechanism Nos. 7–9 in Figs. 4b and 5) and in other previous studies could also evidence this active collision. Furthermore, the area influenced by this process spreads over the inland and offshore part, mostly in the marine area. This observation suggests that the force of collision affects not only the plate boundary, such as the LV and the CoR, but also a large portion of the marine area of greater distance, including the Luzon forearc or arc cutting by the profiles 4 and 5 (Fig. 6a, f and g). Tomographic results show insignificant variation for the inland portion, where few earthquakes were observed. However, relatively larger diversity in velocity occurred in the marine area, suggesting the presence of the compressed Luzon Arc and forearc area.

North of 23.8°N, the northern boundary, the earthquakes present a clearly northward subduction pattern with a dipping angle of about 30° in the offshore profiles, which is approximately consistent with the 8 km/s velocity contour (Fig. 6e–g). The 8 km/s contour is too deep to be the top of the subducted PSP and it may represent the lower layer of the double seismic zone (Huang et al., 2014). The aseismic characteristics of the oceanic crust may be due to its highly deformed and ruptured material content or the hydrated mantle (Lallemand et al., 2013). Otherwise, a group of earthquakes trending northward with slightly smaller angle was...
observed on land, along the profile 2 (Fig. 6d). This earthquake distribution can reach as far as approximately 30 km from the coast (Fig. 6a), which suggests that the PSP subduction may have entered the inland area north of 23.8°N (Lin et al., 2004; Wu et al., 1997, 2009a). The focal mechanism No. 1, possessing an approximately 30° dip angle, evidences the subduction process for this northernmost portion. We notice that the southernmost end of this feature is located at 23.8°N at depth of 25 km and becomes deeper northeastward (Fig. 6d). No surface evidence for this subducting feature has been reported. This phenomenon could be caused by the ongoing collisional process to the south of 23.8°N which obscured the subduction-related activity.

Between 23.25°N and 23.8°N, the seismic pattern becomes more complex. The earthquakes located along the profile 3 (Fig. 6e) present northward dipping feature as in its northern portion, while those located along the profiles 4 and 5, in the offshore area (Fig. 6f and g) intensify at 35–55 km in depth without appearing any distinctive pattern. The concentration of earthquakes at depth may not be explained by the subduction-related processes of PSP because the hypocenters are much deeper than the PSP Moho (Lallemand et al., 2013), and the focal mechanisms determined in the area are uniquely characterized by NW-SE compression (focal mechanism Nos. 3–6 in Figs. 4b and 5). In the previous studies, the arc and/or forearc material was suggested to underthrust eastward along one of the conjugate fault of the Luzon volcanic arc (Chemenda et al., 1997, 2001; Malavieille et al., 2002). Based on seismic tomographic results, a relatively low velocity area, imaged at depth below 30 km, was inferred to be

---

**Fig. 5.** Nine focal mechanisms determined in this study. Black and white dots represent the upward and downward first motion, respectively. Detailed information are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1**

The parameters and the HASH test result for the focal mechanisms determined from our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Longitude (°)</th>
<th>Latitude (°)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Strike (°)</th>
<th>Dip (°)</th>
<th>Rake (°)</th>
<th>Fit error</th>
<th>STDR</th>
<th>Fault + aux plane uncertainty (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>121.68</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>44.65</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>121.36</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>30.78</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>121.52</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>52.55</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>121.54</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>46.45</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>121.59</td>
<td>23.42</td>
<td>53.98</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>121.38</td>
<td>23.29</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>121.50</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>33.98</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>121.36</td>
<td>23.11</td>
<td>22.77</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>121.26</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the underthrust arc/forearc (Huang et al., 2014; Kuochen et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2007, 2009b). Therefore, the seismicity observed at this portion should be linked to the ongoing deformation of the arc/forearc material. In addition, only a few of earthquakes occurred to the landward side, along the profiles 1 and 2, at a distance of less than 10 km from the coast, whereas most earthquakes spread broadly in the seaward portion. This pattern should be subjected to the concentration of arc/forearc deformation in the marine area.

5.1. Ruisui earthquake sequence

The Ruisui M$_{L}$ 6.4 earthquake occurred on 31 October 2013 in the vicinity of the LV in eastern Taiwan (Figs. 4 and 6). The earthquake produced extremely strong ground motion, even people lived in Taipei City, 200 km away from the epicenter, felt the shaking for approximately 50 s. A strong motion with a peak ground acceleration larger than 400 Gal was detected in the northern LV. Several aftershocks occurred along the NNE-SSW direction, in a good agreement with the strike of the LV. Most of them were located between 5 and 18 km in depth (Fig. 6) and shown a western dipping fault plane (Lee et al., 2014). The relocated earthquakes along the profile 2 shows a northward trending pattern (Fig. 6d), which coincided approximately with the 7 km/s velocity contour and could be linked to the northwestward subducting PSP. The shallowest relocated earthquakes occurred at approximately 25 km, and no continuation could be found from this depth to the surface. However, the position of the October 2013 Ruisui earthquake sequence extracted from CWB (http://www.cwb.gov.tw/V7/earthquake/quake_index.htm, purple dots in Figs. 4 and 6) filled the gap from the northward trending feature to the surface, with a northwestward trending distribution, which is in a good agreement with the PSP plate motion. This connection of the deep subduction structure to the surface could be the expression for the southward propagation of the PSP subduction, which was evidenced by the spatial coincidence.

![Fig. 6. Seismic tomographic results (Kuochen et al., 2012) and the relocated earthquake distribution along the five NE-SW cross-sections located from the inland to offshore area. (a) Profile locations (red lines) and the relocated earthquakes (black dots) in plan view. Red arrows indicate the two main tectonic boundaries suggested in our study. (b) Leveling and continuous GPS data extracted from Ching et al. (2011). The uplift and subsidence rate calculated from the leveling experiment are shown by triangles with red and blue colors, respectively. Black dots show the vertical velocity estimated from GPS data. (c)-(g) Are the seismic tomographic results and the relocated earthquakes along the five profiles 1–5 in (a). The black dots show the relocated earthquakes. Gray dots show the earthquakes from the reviewed ISC catalog. Black dash lines indicate two tectonic boundaries. Black triangles denote the OBS positions. Purple dots and focal mechanism represent the Ruisui sequence. The pink focal mechanisms are obtained from our study. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
5.2. Comparison between the tectonic environment and the leveling data

By combining the present-day and long-term vertical velocities in Taiwan, Ching et al. (2011) found an uplift and downward movement in the southern and northern segment of the CoR, respectively (Figs. 1 and 6b). This observation was proposed to be correlated with the transition from creeping process of the LVF in the south to locking effect in the north (Ching et al., 2011). The aseismic and/or small-magnitude coseismic motion caused an uplift rate of 5–10 mm/yr in the southern part of the CoR (Hsieh and Rau, 2009), while the elastic strain accumulation produced a subsidence rate of 10–20 mm/yr in the northern portion (Huang et al., 2010). However, the consistency of the boundaries observed from the leveling data and the earthquakes distribution patterns infers that the variation of the vertical surface movement could be linked to the different tectonic processes discussed previously. The reversal of the vertical displacement rate occurs in the vicinity of 23.3°N, which corresponds to the boundary where the seismic pattern changes dramatically. South of the boundary, the earthquake sequences show a collisional feature which includes the entire crustal portion, and the leveling data represent an uplift process. By contrast, the leveling data become negative suddenly to the north of 23.3°N (Figs. 1 and 6b). As discussed previously, the compression of the collision-related effect may force the arc/fore-arc material to move downward, which could cause the surface subsidence on the leveling observation. Furthermore, the uplift rate dropped substantially at 23.3°N and remained at a value lower than approximately 15 mm/yr between 23.6°N and 23.85°N. This segment of relative more rapid subsidence rate coincided with the location of the October 2013 Ruisui earthquakes sequence, inferring the potential correlation between the occurrences of subduction-related earthquakes and the surface vertical velocity in this area. To the north of 23.85°N, where the northward dipping seismic pattern was obviously presented, the uplift rate returned to almost neutral.

6. Conclusion

Even though numerous seismic tomographic and seismicity relocation studies have been performed for the understanding of the ongoing tectonic processes along the eastern Taiwan, an continuous analysis of the spatial distribution of seismicity from the south to the north of Taiwan from a broad view seems insufficient. Based on the seismicity recorded from 22 onshore and offshore seismometers, we relocated 333 earthquakes by using the double-difference relocation method to obtain a more detailed and accurate distribution of earthquakes along the eastern Taiwan. Based on the continuously spatial examination on the relocated events from the south to the north, we suggest that two main boundaries divide the eastern Taiwan into different areas with distinct ongoing tectonic processes. The boundary for the collision and the transition zones appears at ~23.25°N. South of this boundary, all the earthquakes spread homogeneously in the crustal portion, expressing a arc-continental collisional process. The transition from the collision to subduction along the eastern Taiwan should concentrate in the area between 23.25°N and 23.8°N, where the arc/fore-arc block deformed at depth as revealed by the dramatically deepening of hypocenters. North of 23.8°N, the northwest-dipping PSP is well illustrated by seismicity in both the onshore and offshore areas. Even though the two boundaries were defined clearly in our study, the October 2013 Ruisui earthquakes sequence connected the northward dipping feature at 23.8°N to the shallower part at 23.6°N, suggesting the south prolongation of the subduction-related mechanism. This observation could infer the co-existence of collisional and subduction process in this transition portion.
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