

# Logging Data Processing and interpretation in JAMSTEC

Hung-Yu (Sonata) Wu 海洋研究開發機構/JAMSTEC

# About me

- 2006-2010 National Central Univ. ~PhD
- 2008-2009 Stanford Univ. ~ Visiting Scholar
- 2010-2010 National Central Univ. ~ Postdoc
- 2010-2013 JAMSTEC ~ Postdoc
- 2013-present JAMSTEC ~ Researcher
- Working for: Logging data interpretation, Geomechanism model, stress field evaluation, rock experiment, geothermal measurements.
- Participated projects: TCDP, SAFDO, NanTroSEIZE, JFAST, NGHP-2, SIP-2, TGDP

# Outline

- NanTroSEIZE drilling project (C9, C2, C11, C4)
- Exp.337 Shimokita (CPP)
- JFAST
- SIP2 Okinawa
- NGHP-2, India
- Software reviews
- Summary

#### Anderson Classification of Relative Stress Magnitude



Zoback, 2007



Zoback, 2007

#### Estimation Stress Magnitudes by friction ~Anderson's faulting theory



🕹 S<sub>hmin</sub>

(Kirsch, 1898)

#### Determine Stress in the homogenous plane

 Stress Orientation: Breakout azimuth, tensil S<sub>Hmax</sub> **Caliper diameter** ↑ S<sub>hmin</sub>  $\sigma_{rr} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{H \max} - S_{h \min} 2P_0) (1 - \frac{R^2}{r^2}) + \frac{1}{2} (S_{H \max} - S_{h \min}) (1 - \frac{4R^2}{r^2} + \frac{3R^4}{r^4}) \cos 2\theta + \frac{P_0 R^2}{r^2}$  Stress Magnitude  $\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{H \max} + S_{h \min} - 2P_0) (1 + \frac{R^2}{r^2}) - \frac{1}{2} (S_{H \max} - S_{h \min}) (1 + \frac{3R^4}{r^4}) \cos 2\theta - \frac{P_0 R^2}{r^2} - \sigma^{\Delta T}$  $\sigma_{zz} = S_v - 2\nu (S_{H \max} - S_{h \min}) \frac{r^2}{P^2} \cos 2\theta$ 

$$\tau_{r\theta} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{H \max} - S_{h \min}) (1 + \frac{2R^2}{r^2} - \frac{3R^4}{r^4}) \sin 2\theta$$

Local stress field perturbed due to the borehole

#### Hoop Stress around Borehole wall

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = S_{h\min} + S_{HMAX} - 2(S_{HMAX} - S_{h\min})\cos 2\theta - 2P_0 - \Delta P - \sigma^{\Delta T}$$

• At the point of minimum compression around the wellbore (i.e, at  $\theta = 0$ , parallel to S<sub>Hmax</sub>), Equations reduces to

• 
$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}^{\min} = 3S_{\min} - S_{\max} - 2P_0 - \Delta P - \sigma^{\Delta T}$$

• Whereas, at the point of maximum stress concentration around the wellbore (i.e, at  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ , parallel to S<sub>hmin</sub>),

• 
$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}^{\text{max}} = 3S_{\text{Hmax}} - S_{\text{hmin}} - 2P_0 - \Delta P - \sigma^{\Delta T}$$

• 
$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}^{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\theta\theta}^{\text{min}} = 4 (S_{\text{Hmax}} - S_{\text{hmin}})$$

• In general,

Pore pressure = hydrostatic

 $\Delta {\rm P}$  measured by MDT

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}$$
? Why Rock Strength?



#### What is breakouts?



If  $\sigma_{\theta \max}$  > compressive strength, compressive failure occurs Stress induced compressive failure = Breakout

#### Geomechanical model Stress observation from borehole failure



#### MDT (Leak-off tests)



Time (Volume of mud pumped in borehole)

(after White et al., 2002)



#### Nankai Trough Seismogenic Zone Experiment (NanTroSEIZE)



#### LWD IMAGES in NanTroSEIZE





ullet

•



#### **Breakout & SVF Azimuth**



- Single vertical fracture (SVF)does not represent the direction of S<sub>HMAX</sub>.
- No pair, 180°-apart tensile fractures found in Site C0009.





#### **Empirical Function for Sandstone**



#### Estimated Rock strength

- P-wave and S-Wave velocities are slightly increasing with depth.
- P-wave velocity is sharp decreasing in the Gas zones.
- The high velocities below 1285 mbsf present the high rock strength in accretionary prism.
- The strength of rock is between 20Mpa to 60Mpa.



#### Site C2





From CDEX Drilling Complication Report





- C2A image showed the consistent borehole breakout azimuth. No tensile fractures recorded.
- C2F images indicated the no ٠ failure borehole state during the drilling. However, the drill difficulties took place in the bottom of this borehole (3.5km).
- C2P drilled in the very critical ٠ state. Few breakouts showed in the top of images. The drill difficulties happened in the bottom (5kmbsl)



# X337 C0020A Site Map



- Drilling depth: 1252~2461.4mbsf
- Focal mechanism indicated that the main stress direction is driven by the plate movement.
- World stress map(2008) showed the S<sub>HMAX</sub> would vary in some events.
- However, the events near the drill site show the same trend of stress mechanism from 1999~2015.

# Logging data & borehole conditions





- It is an amazing example to show the evolution of breakout forming. B.O is forming from crack with shear fracturing, deepening fractures and collapsing.
- It may be the first time to find the evidence of breakout forming processes.



# Hoop stress around the borehole





#### Seismogenic Zone Challenges ~ JFAST

- What governs subduction zone seismogenic fault locking vs stable slip and/or transitional fault behavior?
- Does fault state evolve during interseismic and pre-seismic period? If so, how?
- What governs tsunami generation characteristics for a given great earthquake?

Stress analysis conceived to address these questions



#### Exp. 343 logging data and LWD images

- The borehole breakout distribution can be recognized from LWD images. (Lin et al., 2012)
- The different lithology including plate boundary) can be found in this drilling. However, the stress variation is unknown.
- Density, velocity, friction, and rock strength hardly detected in exp. 343.



- Stress directions seem inconsistent above 500mbsf.
- Less constrain for the overburden weight (Sv).

# Re-evaluate the breakout azimuth in shallow portion





- The stress state after earthquake is in Normal-fault stress regime(friction=0.4;0.6 and 0.8)
- Rock strength should be in ~10Mpa or the normal-faulting slip occurs after the mainshock.
- Vertical stress equals to 90Mpa if the density 1.8g/cm^3 applied at 720mbsf.

#### Geomechanical model for C0019B



# **Optimal Oriented Plane model**

**√**3 ¥

- Constrain by the borehole stress state
- Assume the fault patch in reasonable parameters



#### OOP model for site C0019B



# GMI- SFIB



### **GMI-Breakout Simulation**



📓 Link to BSFO 🕘 Units: MPa

(DEPTH=2000)



#### 

#### **GMI-Breakout tendency**



📓 Link to BSFO 🕘 Units: MPa

(DEPTH=2000)



PoisRat=0.25

DeltaP=0

#### 

#### **GMI-Breakout rotation**



# **GMI-Borehole** stability



# Techlog- Well bore Imaging

- Data Quality
- Extracting infomration from loggings
- Share between disciplines
- Data accessibility over lifetime of asset



#### Understanding fracture and run sand counting





# Jewel Suites- Geomechanics

Advantages of static 3D geomechanical model

- Masters 1D depth stretching problems; Honors structural constraints
- Use of existing techniques/subsurface models
- Integrates multiple data sources (wells, seismic horizons and volumes)
- Fast and cost efficient 3D model
- High resolution model for WBS (~1m)
- Model build in an integrated environment (multiple user input)
- WBS analyses of arbitrary well trajectories possible

# 3D Geomechanical model – Well based method



# Extracting results



#### 3D Hi-Res Geomechanical Model

- Rock Mechanical Properties
- Pore Pressures
- In-situ Stresses and
- Fracture Gradient















#### **Stress-induced anisotropy**

Highly disordered system



- Preferential closure of fractures in response to S<sub>Hmax</sub>
- Stress parallel fast direction
- Decreasing anisotropy with

2012-08-01 KCC Expedition Tepperas stress increases.

#### But How Do Stress Orientations Change as Fault is Approached at Depth?



# Future Work



[The main route in C9 paper]

### SDM for Nankai Stress Field



# Summary

- No matter what software you use, you still need to do your research BY YOURSELF.
- 3D model of stress magnitudes over interval spanned by borehole tied to hard measurements, we need to collected the borehole condictionsm visible behaviors in imgaes logs and model of rock strength.
- Discrete measurements of static principal stress magnitudes and 3D model of their variation with depth help us map magnitude of stress rotations within the total stress field. (see beyond the images)

